1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc. UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE, INC., Defendant.	DISTRICT COURT JIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW DECLARATION OF M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH GOOGLE INC.'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION (DOCUMENT NOS. 171- 172) Date: June 11, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: Courtroom 8 Judge: Honorable James Ware
2223		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Jhang Declaration in Response to Plaintiffs' Opp to Google Inc.'s Administrative Mot. To Seal 41063-0023/LEGAL13292127.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I, M. Christopher Jhang, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all of the courts of the State of California and this Court, and am an attorney with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for defendant Google Inc. ("Google") in this action. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), I submit this declaration in response to the Declaration of Michele F. Raphael In Opposition To Defendant Google Inc.'s Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents Under Seal In Connection With Google Inc.'s Reply To Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition To Google Inc.'s Motion For Summary Judgment ("Plaintiffs' Opposition to Seal Documents"), filed with this Court on May 30, 2007 (Document Nos. 171-172). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. Google's Reply To Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition To Google's Motion For Summary Judgment ("Google's Supplemental Reply") contains, discusses, or refers to information or documents that Google considers to be confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information. It discusses the operation of Google's AdWords system and references deposition testimony of Google's software engineers regarding Google's internal processes and information not available to the public, including proprietary technology related to the AdWords program and the development of new technology.
- 3. The deposition transcripts of Google's witnesses, portions of which are attached as Exhibits A, B, and C to the Supplemental Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Support of Google's Supplemental Reply ("Supplemental Jhang Declaration"), are confidential, in whole or in part, as set forth in the declaration supporting Google's motion to seal. See Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Support of Google Inc.'s Motion For Leave To File Documents Under Seal In Connection With Google Inc.'s Reply To Plaintiffs' Supplemental Opposition To Google Inc.'s Motion For Summary Judgment, ¶¶ 5-7. Notably, these transcripts that are the subject of Plaintiffs' complaints are the result of additional discovery ordered by the Court regarding "how

27

1	the AdWords system compensates for fluctuations in Internet traffic" – an issue that clearly calls	
2	for the discovery of confidential and proprietary information. See Court's February 8, 2007	
3	Order.	
4	4. Plaintiffs' claim that Google has improperly sealed the deposition transcript of its	
5	employee, Heather Wilburn, is unmerited. Exhibit A of the redacted version of the Supplemental	
6	Jhang Declaration is redacted in accordance with Google's tailored confidentiality designations.	
7	5. Google will continue to confer with Plaintiffs in good faith regarding Google's	
8	confidential designations. Once the designations are finalized, Google will amend its redacted	
9	documents to conform with the final designations.	
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United	
11	States that each of the above statements is true and correct.	
12	Executed June 1, 2007, in San Francisco, California.	
13		
14	PERKINS COIE LLP	
15	D (0)	
16	By: <u>/S/</u> M. Christopher Jhang	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-3-	